arXiv:1512.08930v1 [math.AG] 30 Dec 2015

NON-COMPLETE RATIONAL T-VARIETIES OF COMPLEXITY ONE

JÜRGEN HAUSEN AND MILENA WROBEL

Abstract. We consider rational varieties with a torus action of complexity one and extend the combinatorial approach via the Cox ring developed for the complete case in earlier work to the non-complete, e.g. affine, case. This includes in particular a description of all factorially graded affine algebras of complexity one with only constant homogeneous invertible elements in terms of canonical generators and relations.

1. The main results

The purpose of this note is to extend the toolkit developed in [12, 11, 10] for normal complete rational varietes with a torus action of complexity one also to non-complete varieties, for example affine ones. Recall that the *complexity* of a variety X with an effective action of an algebraic torus T equals $\dim(X) - \dim(T)$. The case of complexity zero is the theory of toric varieties which due to their complete combinatorial encoding form a very popular field of research.

Complexity one is a natural step beyond the toric case showing new phenomena as for example new classes of singularities. However, due to the fact that the generic quotient is merely a curve, still much of the geometry is encoded in combinatorial properties of the torus action; see [13, 18, 1] for general approaches based on this observation. If we restrict to *rational* varieties with torus action of complexity one, then we have a finitely generated *Cox ring*

$$\mathcal{R}(X) \;=\; \bigoplus_{\mathrm{Cl}(X)} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}(D)).$$

If, in addition, X is complete, then [12] provides an explicit description of the Cox ring in terms of generators and specific trinomial relations. Applying the machinery around Cox rings to this particular setting leads to an elementary and very concrete approach in the complete case; see [2] for the basics and [3, 9] for some applications. As indicated, we extend this approach to the non-complete case.

We work over an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{K}$ of characteristic zero. The basic task is to describe all Cox rings of normal rational varieties X with an effective torus action $T \times X \to X$ of complexity one also in the non-complete case. As it is needed for the uniqueness of the Cox ring, we require $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}^*) = \mathbb{K}^*$. From the algebraic point of view, a Cox ring is firstly a finitely generated integral $\mathbb{K}$-algebra R graded by a finitely generated abelian group K such that that there are only constant invertible homogeneous elements. The most important characterizing property of a Cox ring is then *K-factoriality*, which means that we have unique factorization in the multiplicative monoid of non-zero homogeneous elements. Observe that for a torsion-free grading group, K-factoriality is equivalent to the usual unique factorization but in general it is definitely weaker.

In a first step, we describe all finitely generated integral $\mathbb{K}$-algebras R that admit an effective factorial K-grading of complexity one, where effective means that the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14L30, 13A05, 13F15.

$w \in K$ with $R_w \neq 0$ generate K as a group and complexitiy one means that the rational vector space $K \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is of dimension one less than R is. The first results in this direction concern the case $R_0 = \mathbb{K}$ in dimension two, see [17, 14]. The case $R_0 = \mathbb{K}$ in arbitrary dimension was settled in [10] and occurs as part of *Type 2* in our subsequent considerations. A simple example of *Type 1* presented below is the coordinate algebra of the special linear group SL(2):

$$R \;=\; \mathbb{K}[T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4]/\langle T_1T_2 + T_3T_4 - 1\rangle, \qquad Q \;=\; \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where the matrix Q specifies a $\mathbb{Z}^2$-grading of R by assigning to the variable T_i the i-th column of Q as its degree; note that this reflects multiplication of diagonal torus elements s from the left and t from the right modulo trivially acting pairs s, t. Here comes the general construction.

Construction 1.1. Fix integers $r, n > 0$, $m \geq 0$ and a partition $n = n_\iota + \ldots + n_r$ starting at $\iota \in \{0, 1\}$. For each $\iota \leq i \leq r$, fix a tuple $l_i \in \mathbb{Z}^{n_i}_{>0}$ and define a monomial

$$T_i^{l_i} \;:=\; T_{i1}^{l_{i1}} \cdots T_{in_i}^{l_{in_i}} \;\in\; \mathbb{K}[T_{ij}, S_k;\ \iota \leq i \leq r,\ 1 \leq j \leq n_i,\ 1 \leq k \leq m].$$

We will also write $\mathbb{K}[T_{ij}, S_k]$ for the above polynomial ring. We distinguish two settings for the input data A and P_0 of the graded $\mathbb{K}$-algebra $R(A, P_0)$.

Type 1. Take $\iota = 1$. Let $A := (a_1, \ldots, a_r)$ be a list of pairwise different elements of $\mathbb{K}$. Set $I := \{1, \ldots, r-1\}$ and define for every $i \in I$ a polynomial

$$g_i \;:=\; T_i^{l_i} - T_{i+1}^{l_{i+1}} - (a_{i+1} - a_i) \;\in\; \mathbb{K}[T_{ij}, S_k].$$

We build up an $r \times (n+m)$ matrix from the exponent vectors $l_1, \ldots, l_r$ of these polynomials:

$$P_0 \;:=\; \begin{bmatrix} l_1 & & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & & l_r & 0 & \ldots & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Type 2. Take $\iota = 0$. Let $A := (a_0, \ldots, a_r)$ be a $2 \times (r+1)$-matrix with pairwise linearly independent columns $a_i \in \mathbb{K}^2$. Set $I := \{0, \ldots, r-2\}$ and for every $i \in I$ define

$$g_i \;:=\; \det \begin{bmatrix} T_i^{l_i} & T_{i+1}^{l_{i+1}} & T_{i+2}^{l_{i+2}} \\ a_i & a_{i+1} & a_{i+2} \end{bmatrix} \;\in\; \mathbb{K}[T_{ij}, S_k].$$

We build up an $r \times (n+m)$ matrix from the exponent vectors $l_0, \ldots, l_r$ of these polynomials:

$$P_0 \;:=\; \begin{bmatrix} -l_0 & l_1 & & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ -l_0 & 0 & & l_r & 0 & \ldots & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We now define the ring $R(A, P_0)$ simultaneously for both types in terms of the data A and P_0. Denote by P_0^* the transpose of P_0 and consider the projection

$$Q\colon \mathbb{Z}^{n+m} \;\to\; K_0 \;:=\; \mathbb{Z}^{n+m}/\mathrm{im}(P_0^*).$$

Denote by $e_{ij}, e_k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+m}$ the canonical basis vectors corresponding to the variables T_{ij}, S_k. Define a K_0-grading on $\mathbb{K}[T_{ij}, S_k]$ by setting

$$\deg(T_{ij}) \;:=\; Q(e_{ij}) \;\in\; K_0, \qquad \deg(S_k) \;:=\; Q(e_k) \;\in\; K_0.$$

This is the coarsest possible grading of $\mathbb{K}[T_{ij}, S_k]$ leaving the variables and the g_i homogeneous. In particular, we have a K_0-graded factor algebra

$$R(A, P_0) \;:=\; \mathbb{K}[T_{ij}, S_k]/\langle g_i;\ i \in I\rangle.$$

We gather the basic properties of the graded algebras just constructed; the corresponding proofs are given in Section 2. Below, we mean by a K_0-*prime* a homogeneous non-zero non-unit which, whenever it divides a product of homogeneous elements, it also divides one of the factors.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $R(A, P_0)$ be a K_0-graded ring as provided by Construction 1.1.*

(i) *The ring $R(A, P_0)$ is an integral, normal complete intersection of dimension $n + m - r + 1$.*
(ii) *The K_0-grading on $R(A, P_0)$ is effective, factorial of complexity one and $R(A, P_0)$ has only constant invertible homogeneous elements.*
(iii) *The variables T_{ij} and S_k define pairwise nonassociated K_0-prime generators for $R(A, P_0)$.*
(iv) *Suppose $r \geq 2$ and $n_i l_{ij} > 1$ for all i, j. Then the ring $R(A, P_0)$ is factorial if and only if K_0 is torsion free. Moreover,*
   (a) *in case of Type 1, $R(A, P_0)$ is factorial if and only if one has $\gcd(l_{i1}, \ldots, l_{in_i}) = 1$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$,*
   (b) *in case of Type 2, $R(A, P_0)$ is factorial if and only if any two of $l_i := \gcd(l_{i1}, \ldots, l_{in_i})$ are coprime.*

Observe that the situation of (iv) can always be achieved by eliminating the variables that occur in a linear term of some relation. The following result shows that Construction 1.1 yields in fact all affine algebras with property (ii) of the above theorem; see Section 2 for the proof.

Theorem 1.3. *Let K be a finitely generated abelian group and R a finitely generated, integral, normal $\mathbb{K}$-algebra with an effective, factorial K-grading of complexity one and only constant invertible homogeneous elements. Then R is isomorphic to a K_0-graded $\mathbb{K}$-algebra $R(A, P_0)$ as provided by Construction 1.1.*

We turn to Cox rings of rational varieties with a torus action of complexity one. They will be obtained as suitable downgradings of the algebras $R(A, P_0)$ of Construction 1.1. Here comes the precise recipe.

Construction 1.4. Let integers r, $n = n_\iota + \ldots + n_r$, m and data A and P_0 of Type 1 or Type 2 as in Construction 1.1. Fix $1 \leq s \leq n + m - r$, choose an integral $s \times (n + m)$ matrix d and build the $(r + s) \times (n + m)$ stack matrix

$$P \;:=\; \begin{bmatrix} P_0 \\ d \end{bmatrix}.$$

We require the columns of P to be pairwise different primitive vectors generating $\mathbb{Q}^{r+s}$ as a vector space. Let P^* denote the transpose of P and consider the projection

$$Q\colon \mathbb{Z}^{n+m} \;\to\; K \;:=\; \mathbb{Z}^{n+m}/\mathrm{im}(P^*).$$

Denoting as before by $e_{ij}, e_k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+m}$ the canonical basis vectors corresponding to the variables T_{ij} and S_k, we obtain a K-grading on $\mathbb{K}[T_{ij}, S_k]$ by setting

$$\deg(T_{ij}) \;:=\; Q(e_{ij}) \;\in\; K, \qquad\qquad \deg(S_k) \;:=\; Q(e_k) \;\in\; K.$$

This K-grading coarsens the K_0-grading of $\mathbb{K}[T_{ij}, S_k]$ given in Construction 1.1. In particular, we have the K-graded factor algebra

$$R(A, P) \;:=\; \mathbb{K}[T_{ij}, S_k]/\langle g_i;\; i \in I\rangle.$$

We present the basic properties of this construction; see Section 2 for the proof. Below, we say that the grading is *almost free* if removing any variable T_{ij} or S_k still leaves enough variables such that their degree generate the grading group.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $R(A,P)$ be a K-graded ring as provided by Construction 1.4.*

(i) *The K-grading on $R(A,P)$ is almost free, factorial, and $R(A,P)$ has only constant invertible homogeneous elements.*
(ii) *The variables T_{ij} and S_k define pairwise different nonassociated K-prime generators for $R(A,P)$.*

Knowledge of the Cox ring allows to (re)construct the underlying varieties. As in the complete case, we will obtain A_2-varieties, i.e. admitting an embedding into a toric variety. This comprises in particular the affine and, more generally, the quasiprojective case. We make use of the language of bunched rings, see [2] for an introduction.

Construction 1.6. Let $R(A,P)$ be a K-graded ring as provided by Construction 1.4 and $\mathfrak{F} = (T_{ij}, S_k)$ the canonical system of generators. Consider

$$H \ := \ \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{K}[K], \qquad\qquad \overline{X}(A,P) \ := \ \operatorname{Spec} R(A,P),$$

Then H is a quasitorus and the K-grading of $R(A,P)$ defines an action of H on $\overline{X}$. Any true $\mathfrak{F}$-bunch Φ defines an H-invariant open set and a good quotient

$$\widehat{X}(A,P,\Phi) \ \subseteq \ \overline{X}(A,P), \qquad\qquad X(A,P,\Phi) \ = \ \widehat{X}(A,P,\Phi)/\!\!/H.$$

The action of $H_0 = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{K}[K_0]$ leaves $\widehat{X}(A,P,\Phi)$ invariant and induces an action of the torus $T = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{K}[\mathbb{Z}^s]$ on $X(A,P,\Phi)$.

From [2, Thm. 3.2.1.4] and the observation that the presence of a torus action of complexity one implies rationality in case of a finitely generated divisor class group, we infer the basic properties of the above construction.

Theorem 1.7. *Consider a T-variety $X = X(A,P,\Phi)$ as provided by Construction 1.6. Then X is a normal, rational A_2-variety with only constant invertible functions and the action of T on X is of complexity one. Dimension, divisor class group and Cox ring of X are given by*

$$\dim(X) \ = \ s+1, \qquad \operatorname{Cl}(X) \ \cong \ K, \qquad \mathcal{R}(X) \ \cong \ R(A,P).$$

The following converse is proven in Section 2 and concerns A_2-*maximal* varieties that means A_2-varieties that can not be realized as an open subset with nonempty complement of codimension at least two in another A_2-variety; this setting includes in particular the affine and, more generally, the semiprojective case.

Theorem 1.8. *Let X be an irreducible, normal, rational, A_2-maximal variety with only constant invertible functions, finitely generated divisor class group and a torus action of complexity one. Then X is equivariantly isomorphic to a variety $X(A,P,\Phi)$ provided by Construction 1.6.*

In the case of *affine*, normal, rational varieties with a torus action of complexity one. Here, the whole machinery boils down to the following statement.

Corollary 1.9. *Let X be an irreducible, normal, rational affine variety with only constant invertible functions, finitely generated divisor class group and an effective algebraic torus action of complexity one. Then X is equivariantly isomorphic to a variety $\operatorname{Spec} R(A,P)_0$ acted on by the torus H_0/H, where $R(A,P)$ is as in Construction 1.4 and the columns of P generate the extremal rays of a pointed cone in $\mathbb{Q}^{r+s}$.*

Finally, in Section 3, we illustrate our methods by discussing the well-known case of normal affine $\mathbb{K}^*$-surfaces [8, 7]. We take a closer look at du Val singularities and show how their Cox rings and resolutions are obtained using our framework; see [6, 15, 5] for earlier treatments based on other methods.

2. Proofs of the main results

We first show that the algebras provided by Constructions 1.1 and 1.4 have indeed the desired properties: the assertions of Theorem 1.2 are verified in Propositions 2.1 to 2.8 and then Theorem 1.5 is proven. We work in the notation of Constructions 1.1 and 1.4.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $R(A, P_0)$ be a $\mathbb{K}$-algebra of Type 1 as in Construction 1.1. Then every K_0-homogeneous invertible element of $R(A, P_0)$ is constant.*

Lemma 2.2. *Notation as for Type 1 in Construction 1.1. For any two indices $1 \leq i, j \leq r$, set*

$$g_{ij} \ := \ T_i^{l_i} - T_j^{l_j} + a_i - a_j.$$

For any three $1 \leq i, j, k \leq r$, we have $g_{ij} = g_{ik} - g_{jk}$ and $G := \{g_{1r}, \ldots, g_{r-1\,r}\}$ is a reduced Gröbner basis with respect to the lexicographical ordering for $\langle g_1, \ldots, g_{r-1}\rangle$.

Proof. The identities among the g_{ij} are obvious. Since $g_i = g_{i\,i+1}$ holds, we see that G generates $\langle g_1, \ldots, g_{r-1}\rangle$. With $\alpha_{ij} := a_j - a_i$, the S-polynomials of G are of the form

$$T_i^{l_i} T_r^{l_r} - T_j^{l_j} T_r^{l_r} + T_i^{l_i}\alpha_{jr} - T_j^{l_j}\alpha_{ir} \ = \ g_{ir}(T_r^{l_r} + \alpha_{jr}) - g_{jr}(T_r^{l_r} + \alpha_{ir}).$$

In particular, they all reduce to zero with respect to G and thus G is the desired Gröbner basis for $\langle g_1, \ldots, g_{r-1}\rangle$. Obviously G is reduced. □

Proof of Proposition 2.1. Let $f \in \mathbb{K}[T_{ij}, S_k]$ define a K_0-homogeneous unit in $R(A, P_0)$ with inverse defined by $g \in \mathbb{K}[T_{ij}, S_k]$. We first show that f and hence g is of K_0-degree zero. We have a presentation

$$fg - 1 \ = \ \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} h_i g_i, \qquad h_i \in \mathbb{K}[T_{ij}, S_k].$$

Suppose that f is of nonzero K_0-degree. Then g is so and the constant term of $fg - 1$ equals -1. Thus, at least one of h_i must have a nonzero constant term and we may rewrite the presentation as

$$fg - 1 \ = \ \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \widetilde{h}_i g_i + \beta_i g_i \ = \ \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \widetilde{h}_i g_i + \beta_i (T_i^{l_i} - T_{i+1}^{l_{i+1}} - \alpha_{i\,i+1}),$$

where the $h_i \in \mathbb{K}[T_{ij}, S_k]$ have constant term zero and at least one β_i is nonzero. Adding 1 to the left and the right hand side gives

$$fg \ = \ \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \widetilde{h}_i g_i + \beta_i (T_i^{l_i} - T_{i+1}^{l_{i+1}}).$$

By Lemma 2.2, at least two different $T_j^{l_j}, T_k^{l_k}$ are not cancelled in the right hand side. Consider monomials f_j, f_k of f dividing $T_j^{l_j}, T_k^{l_k}$ respectively. Since f is K_0-homogeneous, the exponents of f_j and f_k differ by an element of the row lattice of P_0. This works only for $f_j = 1$ or $f_j = T_j^{l_j}$. We conclude that f and hence g is of K_0-degree zero; a contradiction.

Having seen that f and g are of K_0-degree zero, we conclude that they are polynomials in the $T_i^{l_i}$. Using the structure of the g_{ir} we may bring the representatives f and g in the form

$$f \ = \ \sum_i \lambda_i (T_r^{l_r})^i, \qquad\qquad g \ = \ \sum_j \kappa_j (T_r^{l_r})^j.$$

Then also $fg-1$ is a polynomial in $T_r^{l_r}$. Since $fg-1$ belongs to $\langle g_{1\,r}, \ldots, g_{r-1\,r}\rangle$, its reduction by the set G of Lemma 2.2 equals zero. This means $fg-1=0$ and thus $f, g \in \mathbb{K}^*$. □

Proposition 2.3. *Let $R(A,P_0)$ be a $\mathbb{K}$-algebra of Type 1 as in Construction 1.1. Then $R(A,P_0)$ is an integral, regular complete intersection of dimension $n+m-r+1$. Moreover the K_0-grading of $R(A,P_0)$ is effective and of complexity one.*

Lemma 2.4. *Let G be a quasitorus and X a (normal) affine G-variety with only constant invertible homogeneous functions. Then X is connected (irreducible).*

Proof. Consider the induced action of G on the set $Y=\{X_1,\ldots,X_r\}$ of connected components. Then Y is a single G-orbit, because otherwise we can write X is a union of disjoint open G-invariant sets which in turn yields nonconstant invertible functions on X. The stabilizer $G_1 \subseteq G$ of $X_1 \in Y$ is a closed subgroup and we have the homomorphism $\pi\colon G \to G/G_1$. Write $X_i = g_i \cdot X_1$ with suitable $g_1,\ldots,g_r \in G$. Then, for every character χ on G/G_1, we obtain an invertible regular function f_χ on X sending $x \in g_i \cdot X_1$ to $\chi(\pi(g_i))$. By construction, f_χ is homogeneous with respect to χ. Thus every f_χ is constant, which means $G=G_1$ and thus $X=X_1$. □

Proof of Proposition 2.3. Consider $\overline{X} := V(g_1,\ldots,g_{r-1}) \subseteq \mathbb{K}^{m+n}$. We first show that for every $z \in \overline{X}$ the Jacobian of $g_1,\ldots,g_{r-1}$ is of full rank. The Jacobian is of the form $(J_g, 0)$ with

$$J_g := \begin{bmatrix} \delta_{1\,1} & \delta_{1\,2} & 0 & & \cdots & & & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_{2\,2} & \delta_{1\,3} & 0 & & & & \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots & & & \vdots \\ & & & & 0 & \delta_{2\,r-2} & \delta_{1\,r-1} & 0 \\ 0 & & \cdots & & & 0 & \delta_{2\,r-1} & \delta_{1\,r} \end{bmatrix}$$

where each δ_{ti} is a nonzero multiple of $\delta_i := \operatorname{grad} T_i^{l_i}$. Let $z \in \mathbb{K}^{m+n}$ be any point with $J_g(z)$ not of full rank. Then $\delta_i(z)=\delta_j(z)=0$ for some $i \neq j$. This implies $z_{ik}=0=z_{jl}$ for some $0 \leq k \leq n_i$, $0 \leq l \leq n_j$. It follows $T_i^{l_i}(z)=T_j^{l_j}(z)=0$ and thus $z \notin \overline{X}$. So the Jacobian is of full rank for any $z \in \overline{X}$.

We conclude that $g_1,\ldots,g_{r-1}$ generate the vanishing ideal of $\overline{X}$ and that $\overline{X} = \operatorname{Spec} R(A,P_0)$ is smooth. Lemma 2.4 yields that $\overline{X}$ is connected and, by smoothness, irreducible. Thus $R(A,P_0)$ is integral. Moreover the dimension of $\overline{X}$ and hence $R(A,P_0)$ is $n+m-(r-1)$ and thus $R(A,P_0)$ is a complete intersection. □

Proposition 2.5. *Let $R(A,P_0)$ be of Type 1. Then the variables T_{ij}, S_k define pairwise nonassociated K_0-prime elements in $R(A,P_0)$. If furthermore the ring $R(A,P_0)$ is factorial and $n_i l_{ij} > 1$ holds, then T_{ij} is even prime.*

Proof. First observe that, by the nature of relations, any two different variables define a zero set of codimension at least two in $\overline{X}$. Thus the variables are pairwise non-associated. Since $R(A,P_0)$ is integral and $R(A,P_0) \cong R(A,P_0')[S_1,\ldots,S_m]$ holds with P_0' obtained from P_0 by deleting the zero columns, the S_k are even prime.

We now turn to the T_{ij} and exemplarily treat T_{11}. The task is to show that the divisor of T_{11} in $\overline{X}$ is H_0-prime that means that its prime components have multiplicity one and are transitively permuted by H_0. First we claim

$$V(\overline{X}; T_{11}) \;:=\; V(T_{11}) \cap \overline{X} \;=\; \overline{H_0 \cdot z} \;\subseteq\; \mathbb{K}^{n+m}.$$

Indeed, the zero set of T_{11} in $\overline{X}$ is given by the equations

$$T_{11} \;=\; 0, \qquad T_s^{l_s} \;=\; a_s - a_1, \qquad 2 \leq s \leq r.$$

Set $h := T_{12}\cdots T_{rn_r}\cdot S_1\cdots S_m$ and let $z \in \mathbb{K}_h^{n+m}$ be a point satisfying the above equations. Then z is of the form $(0, z_{12}, \ldots, z_{rn_r}, z_1, \ldots, z_m)$ with nonzero z_{ij} and z_k and any other such point $z' \in \mathbb{K}_h^{n+m}$ is given as

$$z' = t \cdot z = (0, t_{12}z_{12}, \ldots, t_{rn_r}z_{rn_r}, t_1z_1, \ldots, t_mz_m), \quad t \in (\mathbb{K}^*)^{n+m}, \quad t_s^{l_s} = 1.$$

This means $t \in H_0$ and $V(\overline{X}_h; T_{11}) = H_0 \cdot z$. Since the common zero set of any two different variables is of codimension at least two in $\overline{X}$, our claim follows. In particular, H_0 permutes transitively the components of the divisor defined by T_{11} on $\overline{X}$. To obtain only multiplicities one, observe that the Jacobian of the above equations is of full rank at any point of $H_0 \cdot z \subseteq V(\overline{X}; T_{11})$.

To prove the supplement, one proceeds by exactly the same arguments as used in the proof of [2, Prop. 3.4.2.8(ii)]. □

Proposition 2.6. *Let $R(A, P_0)$ be of Type 1. Then $R(A, P_0)$ is K_0-factorial.*

Proof. First observe that the quasitorus $H_0 \cong \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{K}[K_0]$ equals the kernel of the homomorphism of tori

$$\varphi\colon \mathbb{T}^{n+m} \;\to\; \mathbb{T}^r, \qquad (t_{ij}, t_k) \;\mapsto\; (t_1^{l_1}, \ldots, t_r^{l_r}).$$

Denote the coordinates of $\mathbb{T}^r$ by $U_1, \ldots, U_r$. Then the relations g_i are pullbacks of the affine linear forms

$$g_i \;=\; \varphi^*(h_i), \qquad h_i \;:=\; U_i - U_{i+1} - (a_{i+1} - a_i) \;\in\; \mathbb{K}[U_1^{\pm}, \ldots, U_r^{\pm 1}].$$

The h_i generate the vanishing ideal of an r times punctured affine line in $\mathbb{T}^r$ and thus

$$(R(A, P_0)_t)_0 \;=\; \mathbb{K}[U_1^{\pm}, \ldots, U_r^{\pm 1}]/\langle h_1, \ldots, h_{r-1}\rangle$$

is a factorial ring, where t is the product over all the variables T_{ij} and S_k. Now Proposition 2.5 and [2, Cor. 3.4.1.6] tell us that $R(A, P_0)$ is K_0-factorial. □

Proposition 2.7. *Let $R(A, P_0)$ be of Type 1. Then the variable T_{ij} is prime in $R(A, P_0)$ if and only if $1 = \gcd(l_{k1}, \ldots, l_{kn_k})$ holds for all $k \neq i$.*

Proof. We treat exemplarily T_{11}. By Lemma 2.2, the ideal of relations of $R(A, P_0)$ is generated by $g_{12}, \ldots, g_{1r}$. Thus T_{11} generates a prime ideal if and only if the the following ideal is prime

$$\langle T_j^{l_j} + a_j - a_1;\; j \neq 1\rangle \;\subseteq\; \mathbb{K}[T_{ij};\; (i,j) \neq (1,1)].$$

This is equivalent to the statement that $(l_2, 0, \ldots, 0), \ldots, (0, \ldots, 0, l_r)$ generate a primitive sublattice of $\mathbb{Z}^{n-n_1}$. This in turn holds if and only if $l_{k1}, \ldots, l_{kn_k}$ have greatest common divisor one for all $k \neq 1$. □

Proposition 2.8. *Let $R(A, P_0)$ be of Type 1 and suppose that $r \geq 2$ and $n_i l_{ij} > 1$ hold for all i, j. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(i) *The ring $R(A, P_0)$ is factorial.*
(ii) *The group K_0 is torsion free.*
(iii) *We have $\gcd(l_{i1}, \ldots, l_{in_i}) = 1$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$.*

Proof. Let K_0 be torsion free. Then K_0-factoriality implies factoriality of $R(A, P_0)$, see [2, Thm. 3.4.1.11]. If $R(A, P_0)$ is factorial, then Proposition 2.5 says that the generators T_{ij} are prime. This implies $\gcd(l_{k1}, \ldots, l_{kn_k}) = 1$ for all k, see Proposition 2.7. If the latter holds, then the rows of P_0 generate a primitive sublattice of $\mathbb{Z}^{n+m}$ and thus K_0 is torsion free. □

Proof of Theorem 1.5. We first show that every K-homogeneous unit $f \in R(A,P)_w$ is constant. For this, it suffices to show that f is K_0-homogeneous, see Proposition 2.1. From [2, Rem. 3.4.3.2] we infer that the downgrading map $K_0 \to K$ has kernel $\mathbb{Z}^s$. Consider the inverse $g \in R(A,P)_{-w}$ of $f \in R(A,P)_w$ and the decompositions into K_0-homogeneous parts

$$f \;=\; \sum f_i, \quad f_i \in R(A,P_0)_{u_i}, \qquad\qquad g \;=\; \sum g_j, \quad g_j \in R(A,P_0)_{v_j},$$

where $u_i = w_0 + u_i'$ with $u_i' \in \mathbb{Z}^s$ and $v_j = -w_0 + v_j'$ with $v_j' \in \mathbb{Z}^s$ for some fixed $w_0 \in K_0$ projecting to $w \in K$; we identify the kernel of $K_0 \to K$ with $\mathbb{Z}^s$. Let $f_{i_0}, f_{i_1}, g_{j_0}, g_{j_1}$ denote the terms, where u_{i_0}', v_{j_0}' are minimal and u_{i_1}', v_{j_1}' are maximal with respect to the lexicographical ordering on $\mathbb{Z}^s$. As 1 is of K_0-degree zero, we obtain

$$0 \;=\; \deg(f_{i_0} g_{j_0}) \;=\; w_0 + u_{i_0}' - w_0 + v_{j_0}' \;=\; u_{i_0}' + v_{j_0}'.$$

and analogously $u_{i_1}' + v_{j_1}' = 0$. We conclude $u_{i_0}' = u_{i_1}'$ and $v_{j_0}' = v_{j_1}'$. Consequently, f is homogeneous with respect to the K_0-grading.

Using [2, Lemma 2.1.4.1], we see that the K-grading of $R(A,P)$ is almost free. By [2, Lemma 3.4.3.5], the variables T_{ij} and S_k define pairwise nonassociated K-primes in $R(A,P)$. Finally, [2, Thms. 3.4.1.5, 3.4.1.11 and Cor. 3.4.1.6] show that the K-grading of $R(A,P)$ is factorial. □

Now we turn to the converse statements. For this, we adapt the ideas of [12] to our more general setting.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Consider $X = \operatorname{Spec} R$ with the action of $H := \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{K}[K]$ defined by the grading. We follow the lines of [2, Sec. 4.4.2]. Denote by $E_1, \ldots, E_m$ be the prime divisors on X such that for any $x \in E_k$ the isotropy group H_x is infinite and consider the H-invariant open subset

$$X_0 \;:=\; \{x \in X;\ H_x \text{ is finite}\} \;\subseteq\; X.$$

Then there is a geometric quotient $X_0 \to X_0/H$ with a possibly non-separated smooth curve X_0/H. Consider the separation $X_0/H \to Y$ and let $a_\iota, \ldots, a_r \in Y$ be points such that every fiber of $X_0/H \to Y$ comprising more than one point lies over some a_i and every prime divisor of X with non-trivial general H-isotropy lies over some a_i; we denote these prime divisors by D_{ij}, where the i indicates that D_{ij} lies over a_i.

According to [2, Thm. 4.4.2.1], this quotient is the characteristic space over the possibly non-separated curve X_0/H and we have a canonical well-defined pullback isomorphism of K-graded algebras

$$\mathcal{R}(X_0/H)[T_{ij}, S_k]/\langle T_{ij}^{l_{ij}} - 1_{z_{ij}} \rangle \;\to\; \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}),$$

where S_k and T_{ij} are sent to functions with divisor E_k and D_{ij} respectively and $1_{z_{ij}}$ is the pullback of the canonical section of a point $z_{ij} \in X_0/H$ lying over $y_i \in Y$. As X_0/H is smooth, has only constant invertible global functions and finitely generated divisor class group, we end up with Y being either the affine or the projective line. The Cox ring of X_0/H is given as

$$\mathcal{R}(X_0/H) \;=\; \mathcal{R}(Y)[U_{ij}]/\langle U_{i1} \cdots U_{in_i} - 1_{a_i} \rangle,$$

where the U_{ij} represent the canonical sections of the points $z_{i1}, \ldots, z_{in_i} \in X_0/H$ lying over $a_i \in Y$ and 1_{a_i} is the pullback of the canonical section of a_i with respect to $X_0/H \to Y$, see [2, Prop. 4.4.3.4]. Now, if $Y = \mathbb{K}$ holds, we set $\imath := 1$ and represent $\mathcal{R}(Y)$ as

$$\mathcal{R}(Y) \;=\; \mathbb{K}[V_1, \ldots, V_r]/\langle V_i - V_{i+1} - (a_{i+1} - a_i) \rangle.$$

Plugging this into the above descriptions of $\mathcal{R}(X_0/H)$ and $\Gamma(X,\mathcal{O})$ gives us Type 1 of Construction 1.1. If $Y = \mathbb{P}_1$ holds, then we set $\imath := 0$, replace the $a_i \in Y$ with representatives $a_i \in \mathbb{K}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ and obtain

$$\mathcal{R}(Y) \;=\; \mathbb{K}[V_0,\ldots,V_r]/\langle g_0,\ldots,g_{r-2}\rangle, \qquad h_i \;:=\; \det\begin{bmatrix} V_i & V_{i+1} & V_{i+2} \\ a_i & a_{i+1} & a_{i+2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Combining this description with the above presentations of $\mathcal{R}(X_0/H)$ and $\Gamma(X,\mathcal{O})$ leads to Type 2 of Construction 1.1.

So far, we verified that the algebra $R = \Gamma(X,\mathcal{O})$ has the desired generators and relations. The generators are homogeneous with respect to $K = \mathbb{X}(H)$. As the K_0-grading of $R(A,P_0)$ is the finest possible with this property, we obtain a downgrading map $K_0 \to K$. Using the arguments of the proof of [2, Thm. 4.4.2.2], we see that $K_0 \to K$ is an isomorphism. □

Proof of Theorem 1.8. One follows exactly the proof of [2, Thm. 4.4.1.6], but uses our more general Theorem 1.5 instead of [2, Thm. 4.4.2.2]. □

Proof of Corollary 1.9. Theorem 1.8 tells us $X \cong X(A,P,\Phi)$ as in Construction 1.6. Since X is affine, the open subset $\widehat{X}(A,P,\Phi)$ equals the total coordinate space $\overline{X}(A,P)$. The latter means that Φ contains the trivial cone $\{0\}$. This is equivalent to saying that the columns of P generate the extremal rays of a pointed cone in $\mathbb{Q}^{r+s}$. □

3. Example: affine $\mathbb{K}^*$-surfaces

To illustrate our methods, we consider the well-known case of normal affine $\mathbb{K}^*$-surfaces [8, 7] and take a closer look to those with at most du Val singularities. As a preparation, we first adapt the construction of a canonical toric ambient variety from [2, Sec. 3.2.5] and then extend the resolution of singularities [2, Thm. 3.4.4.9] to our setting.

Construction 3.1. Let $X = X(A,P,\Phi)$ be obtained from Construction 1.6. The *tropical variety* of X is the fan $\mathrm{trop}(X)$ in $\mathbb{Q}^{r+s}$ having the maximal cones

$$\lambda_i \;:=\; \mathrm{cone}(v_{i1}) + \mathrm{lin}(e_{r+1},\ldots,e_{r+s}), \qquad i \;=\; \imath,\ldots,r,$$

where $v_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}^{r+s}$ denote the first n columns of P and $e_k \in \mathbb{Z}^{r+s}$ the k-th canonical basis vector.



Type 1 Type 2

For a face $\delta_0 \preceq \delta$ of the orthant $\delta \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^{n+m}$, let $\delta_0^* \preceq \delta$ denote the complementary face and call δ_0 *admissible* if

- the relative interior of $P(\delta_0)$ intersects $\mathrm{trop}(X)$,
- the image $Q(\delta_0^*)$ comprises a cone of Φ,

where $Q\colon \mathbb{Z}^{n+m} \to K = \mathbb{Z}^{n+m}/P^*(\mathbb{Z}^{r+s})$ is the projection. Then we obtain fans $\widehat{\Sigma}$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{n+m}$ and Σ in $\mathbb{Z}^{r+s}$ of pointed cones by setting

$$\widehat{\Sigma} \;:=\; \{\delta_1 \preceq \delta_0;\ \delta_0 \preceq \delta \text{ admissible}\}, \qquad \Sigma \;:=\; \{\sigma \preceq P(\delta_0);\ \delta_0 \preceq \delta \text{ admissible}\}.$$

With the toric varieties $\widehat{Z}$ and Z associated to $\widehat{\Sigma}$ and Σ respectively, we obtain a commutative diagramm of characteristic spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{X}(A,P,\Phi) & \subseteq & \widehat{Z} \\ {\scriptstyle /\!/ H}\big\downarrow & & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle /\!/ H} \\ X(A,P,\Phi) & \subseteq & Z \end{array}$$

The inclusions are T-equivariant closed embeddings, where T acts on Z as the subtorus of the $(r+s)$-torus corresponding to $0 \times \mathbb{Z}^s \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{r+s}$. Moreover, $X(A,P,\Phi)$ intersects every closed toric orbit of Z.

Observe that rays of the fan Σ have precisely the columns of the matrix P as its primitive generators. The following recipe for resolving singularities directly generalizes [2, Thm. 3.4.4.9]; a related approach using polyhedral divisors is presented in [15].

Construction 3.2. Let $X = X(A,P,\Phi)$ be obtained from Construction 1.6 and consider the canonical toric embedding $X \subseteq Z$ and the defining fan Σ of Z.

- Let $\Sigma' = \Sigma \sqcap \operatorname{trop}(X)$ be the coarsest common refinement.
- Let Σ'' be any regular subdivision of the fan Σ'.

Then $\Sigma'' \to \Sigma$ defines a proper toric morphism $Z'' \to Z$ and with the proper transform $X'' \subseteq Z''$ of $X \subseteq Z$, the morphism $X'' \to X$ is a resolution of singularities.

Remark 3.3. In the setting of Construction 3.2, the variety X'' has again a torus action of complexity one and thus is of the form $X'' = X(A'', P'', \Phi'')$. We have $A'' = A$ and P'' is obtained from P by inserting the primitive generators of Σ'' as new columns. Moreover, Φ'' is the Gale dual of Σ'', that means that with the corresponding projection Q'' and orthant δ'' we have

$$\Phi'' \;=\; \{Q''(\delta_0^*);\ \delta_0 \preceq \delta'';\ P''(\delta_0) \in \Sigma''\}.$$

As an example class we now consider rational normal affine $\mathbb{K}^*$-surfaces. By Corollary 1.9, any *affine* rational normal variety X with only constant invertible functions and a torus action of complexity one is of the form

$$X \;=\; X(A,P) \;:=\; \operatorname{Spec} R(A,P)_0.$$

Moreover, using the fact that the columns of P generated the extremal rays of a pointed cone in $\mathbb{Q}^{r+s}$ we directly obtain the following.

Remark 3.4. Consider a rational normal affine $\mathbb{K}^*$-surface $X = X(A,P)$. Then we have $s = 1$ and there are three possible cases for the defining matrix P:

(i) The *elliptic* case: we are in Type 2 and we have $n_0 = \ldots = n_r = 1$, $m = 0$ and $s = 1$.
(ii) The *parabolic* case: we are in Type 1 and we have $n_1 = \ldots = n_r = 1$, $m = 1$ and $s = 1$.
(iii) The *hyperbolic* case: we are in Type 1 and we have $n_1, \ldots, n_r \leq 2$, $m = 0$ and $s = 1$.

We take a closer look at the surfaces $X = X(A,P)$ with at most du Val singularities. Recall that these are exactly the singularities with a resolution graph of type $\boldsymbol{A}$, $\boldsymbol{D}$ or $\boldsymbol{E}$. The singularities of type $\boldsymbol{A}$ are precisely the toric du Val surface singularities; we refer to [4] for an exhaustive treatment of this case.

Proposition 3.5. *Let X be a parabolic or hyperbolic normal affine $\mathbb{K}^*$-surface. If $x_0 \in X$ is a du Val singularity, then it is of type $\boldsymbol{A}$.*

Proof. In the parabolic and hyperbolic cases, the fan Σ of the canonical ambient toric variety is supported on the tropical variety $\mathrm{trop}(X)$ and we have $\Sigma' = \Sigma$ in the first step of the resolution of singularities according to Construction 3.2. The second step means regular subdivision of the purely two-dimensional fan $\Sigma' = \Sigma$ and, in the du Val case, we end up with resolution graphs of type $\boldsymbol{A}$; use [2, Sec. 5.4.2] for computing intersection numbers. □

We turn to the elliptic case. In case of a singularity of type $\boldsymbol{D}$ or $\boldsymbol{E}$, we determine the possible X and present the defining data and the Cox ring for X as well as for the minimal resolution $\tilde{X}$; see [6, 15, 5] for other approaches.

Proposition 3.6. *Let X be an elliptic normal affine $\mathbb{K}^*$-surface with a du Val singularity $x_0 \in X$. If x_0 is of type $\boldsymbol{A}$, then X is an affine toric surface. If x_0 is of type $\boldsymbol{D}$ or $\boldsymbol{E}$, then $X \cong X(A, P)$, where*

$$A \;=\; \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

the defining matrix P depends on the type of x_0 as shown in the table below; we additionally present a defining equation for $X \subseteq \mathbb{K}^3$ from [16] *and the relation g of the Cox ring $\mathcal{R}(X) = \mathbb{K}[T_1, \ldots, T_4]/\langle g \rangle$:*

x_0	*equation in* $\mathbb{K}^3$	*matrix* P	*relation* g
$\boldsymbol{D}_q$	$T_1^2 + T_2T_3^2 + T_2^{q-1}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & q-2 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & 2 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$T_1^2 + T_2^{q-2} + T_3^2$
$\boldsymbol{E}_6$	$T_1^2 + T_2^3 + T_3^4$	$\begin{bmatrix} -3 & 3 & 0 \\ -3 & 0 & 2 \\ -2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$T_1^3 + T_2^3 + T_3^2$
$\boldsymbol{E}_7$	$T_1^2 + T_2^3 + T_2T_3^3$	$\begin{bmatrix} -4 & 3 & 0 \\ -4 & 0 & 2 \\ -3 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$T_1^4 + T_2^3 + T_3^2$
$\boldsymbol{E}_8$	$T_1^2 + T_2^3 + T_3^5$	$\begin{bmatrix} -5 & 3 & 0 \\ -5 & 0 & 2 \\ -4 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$T_1^5 + T_2^3 + T_3^2$

Moreover, Construction 3.2 provides a minimal resolution of singularities $\tilde{X} \to X$ with $\tilde{X} = X(A, \tilde{P}, \tilde{\Phi})$, where $\tilde{P}$ depends on the type of x_0 as shown below; we moreover list the relation $\tilde{g}$ of the Cox ring $\mathcal{R}(\tilde{X}) = \mathbb{K}[T_{ij}, S_1]/\langle \tilde{g} \rangle$:

x_0	*matrix* $\tilde{P}$	*relation* $\tilde{g}$
$\boldsymbol{D}_q$	$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & -1 & q-2 & q-3 & \ldots & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$T_{11}^2T_{12} + T_{21}^{q-2} \cdots T_{2,q-2} + T_{31}^2T_{32}$
$\boldsymbol{E}_6$	$\begin{bmatrix} -3 & -2 & -1 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -3 & -2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$T_{11}^3T_{12}^2T_{13} + T_{21}^3T_{22}^2T_{23} + T_{31}^2T_{32}$
$\boldsymbol{E}_7$	$\begin{bmatrix} -4 & -3 & -2 & -1 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -4 & -3 & -2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ -3 & -2 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$T_{11}^4 \cdots T_{14} + T_{21}^3T_{22}^2T_{23} + T_{31}^2T_{32}$

$\boldsymbol{E}_8$	$\begin{bmatrix} -5 & -4 & -3 & -2 & -1 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -5 & -4 & -3 & -2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ -4 & -3 & -2 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$T_{11}^5 \cdots T_{15} + T_{21}^3 T_{22}^2 T_{23} + T_{31}^2 T_{32}$

The fan $\tilde{\Sigma}$ of the canonical ambient toric variety $\tilde{Z}$ of $\tilde{X}$ is the unique fan with only two-dimensional maximal cones, all of them lying on $\operatorname{trop}(X)$*, and having as one-dimensional precisely the rays through the columns of $\tilde{P}$. The corresponding bunch of cones $\tilde{\Phi}$ is the Gale dual of $\tilde{\Sigma}$.*

Proof. We only have to consider the case that $X = X(A, P)$ is not a toric surface and thus can assume $r \geq 2$ and $l_i := l_{i1} > 1$ for all $i = 0, \ldots, r$. We resolve the singularity $x_0 \in X$ according to Construction 3.2. The first step gives us a fan with $r + 1$ maximal cones, each of dimension two:

$$\operatorname{cone}(v_0, e_{r+1}), \ldots, \operatorname{cone}(v_r, e_{r+1}),$$

where $v_i \in \mathbb{Q}^{r+1}$ denotes the i-th column of P and we may assume that e_{r+1} is the $(r + 1)$-th canonical basis vector. In the second step, we perform the minimal regular subdivision of these cones. This gives indeed a minimal resolution $\tilde{X} \to X$ of x_0 and the resulting picture reflects the resolution graph. We see that x_0 cannot be of type $\boldsymbol{A}$ und thus is of type $\boldsymbol{D}$ or $\boldsymbol{E}$. We end up with $r = 2$ and defining data

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad P = \begin{bmatrix} -l_0 & l_1 & 0 \\ -l_0 & 0 & l_2 \\ d_0 & d_1 & d_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Moreover, because all exceptional curves are of self intersection -2, we must have $d_i \equiv 1 \mod l_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. That means, that we inserted $l_i - 1$ new rays to obtain the minimal regular subdivision of the i-th cone.

As a sample, we continue the case of an $\boldsymbol{E}_6$-singularity. By the shape of the corresponding resolution graph, we have $l_0 = l_1 = 3$ and $l_2 = 2$ after renumbering the columns suitably. This establishes the P_0-block. By suitable row operations we can achieve

$$P = P_c := \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 3 & 0 \\ -3 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 + 3c & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Every $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ yields a matrix P_c admissible for Construction 1.4. The minimal resolution $\tilde{X}_c$ of X_c is the $\mathbb{K}^*$-surface defined by A and the matrix

$$\tilde{P}_c = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & -2 & -1 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -3 & -2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 + 3c & 1 + 2c & 1 + c & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Only for $c = -1$, we obtain self intersection -2 for all exceptional curves; in fact, the one corresponding to $(0, 0, 1)$ is important here. The fan $\tilde{\Sigma}$ of the canonical ambient toric variety $\tilde{Z}$ of $\tilde{X} = \tilde{X}_{-1}$ sits on the tropical variety $\operatorname{trop}(X)$ and looks as follows:



Resolution of the $\boldsymbol{E}_6$-Singularity

□

Remark 3.7. Note that the approach via the defining data A and P establishes *a posteriori* that every du Val surface singularity can be realized as the fixed point of an elliptic $\mathbb{K}^*$-surface. Similarly, the defining equation for $X \subseteq \mathbb{K}^3$ is easily seen to be the defining relation of the Veronese subalgebra $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}) = R(A, P)_0$ of the Cox ring $\mathcal{R}(X) = R(A, P)$.

References

[1] K. Altmann, J. Hausen: *Polyhedral divisors and algebraic torus actions.* Math. Ann. 334 (2006), no. 3, 557–607.

[2] I. Arzhantsev, U. Derenthal, J. Hausen, A. Laface: *Cox rings.* Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Vol. 144. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014.

[3] I. Arzhantsev, E. Herppich, J. Hausen, A. Liendo: *The automorphism group of a variety with torus action of complexity one.* Mosc. Math. J. 14 (2014), no. 3, 429–471.

[4] D.A. Cox, J.B. Little, H.K. Schenck: *Toric varieties.* Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 124. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011. xxiv+841 pp.

[5] Donten-Bury, Marysia: *Cox rings of minimal resolutions of surface quotient singularities.* Preprint, arXiv:1301.2633.

[6] L. Facchini, V. González-Alonso, M. Lasoń: *Cox rings of du Val singularities.* Matematiche (Catania) 66 (2011), no. 2, 115–136.

[7] H. Flenner, M. Zaidenberg: *Normal affine surfaces with $\mathbb{C}^*$-actions.* Osaka J. Math. 40 (2003), no. 4, 981–1009.

[8] K.-H. Fieseler, L. Kaup: *On the geometry of affine algebraic $\mathbb{C}^*$-surfaces.* Problems in the theory of surfaces and their classification (Cortona, 1988), 111–140, Sympos. Math., XXXII, Academic Press, London, 1991.

[9] B. Bechtold, E. Huggenberger, J. Hausen, M. Nicolussi: *On terminal Fano 3-folds with 2-torus action.* Int. Math. Res. Not., doi:10.1093/imrn/rnv190.

[10] J. Hausen, E. Herppich: *Factorially graded rings of complexity one.* Torsors, étale homotopy and applications to rational points, 414–428, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 405, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2013.

[11] J. Hausen, E. Herppich, H. Süß: *Multigraded factorial rings and Fano varieties with torus action.* Doc. Math. 16 (2011), 71–109.

[12] J. Hausen, H. Süß: *The Cox ring of an algebraic variety with torus action.* Advances Math. 225 (2010), 977–1012.

[13] G. Kempf, F.F. Knudsen, D. Mumford, B. Saint-Donat: *Toroidal embeddings. I.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 339. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1973. viii+209 pp.

[14] D. Kussin: *Graded factorial algebras of dimension two.* Bull. London Math. Soc. 30 (1998), no. 2, 123–128.

[15] A. Liendo, H. Süß: *Normal singularities with torus actions.* Tohoku Math. J. (2) 65 (2013), no. 1, 105–130

[16] K. Matsuki: *Introduction to the Mori program.* Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002. xxiv+478 pp.

[17] S. Mori: *Graded factorial domains.* Japan J. Math. 3 (1977), no. 2, 223–238.

[18] D. Timashev: *Torus actions of complexity one.* Toric topology, 349–364, Contemp. Math., 460, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.

Mathematisches Institut, Universität Tübingen, Auf der Morgenstelle 10, 72076 Tübingen, Germany

E-mail address: juergen.hausen@uni-tuebingen.de

Mathematisches Institut, Universität Tübingen, Auf der Morgenstelle 10, 72076 Tübingen, Germany

E-mail address: milena.wrobel@math.uni-tuebingen.de